

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,964	11/24/2003	Roger S. Kerr	82473ANAB	5210	
7	590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
Mark G. Bocchetti			HAWKINS, CHERYL N		
Patent Legal St Eastman Kodal			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street		1734			
Rochester, NY	14650-2201		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,964	KERR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	NTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ( vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atta a sana tanana at					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* '	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, =,	•	` '			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached t	Office Action of form P1O-15.	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Apprite documents have been re	olication No	<b>;</b>			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	<b></b> □	(DTO 112)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03.	_	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,964

Art Unit: 1734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 9, 13-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said thermal print layer" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "first support layer" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said second support layer" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said second support layer" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "said thermal print layer" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,964 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-17, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Kerr (US 6,508,527) in view of Thomas et al. (US 4,456,570). As to Claim 1, Kerr discloses a method for laminating a pre-press proof (Figure 4; column 4, line 56 through column 5, line 10) comprising providing a sheet of plastic film (260); laminating a pre-laminate sheet of material (170) comprising a first thermoplastic layer and first support layer (238) to the sheet of plasma etched plastic material; removing the first support layer (238) thereby forming a pre-laminated receiver stock; creating an imaged receiver sheet (150) with a second support layer (234); laminating the imaged receiver sheet (150) with the pre-laminated receiver stock; and removing the second support layer (234) forming a pre-press proof (250). Kerr also discloses that the pre-laminate sheet of material may include a thermoplastic layer (Figure 3, layer 225; column 4, lines 40-44). Kerr does not disclose plasma etching the sheet of plastic material. It is well known and conventional in the adhesive bonding art, as disclosed by Thomas et al. (column 1, lines 28-35), to plasma etch the bonding surface of a polymeric sheet to increase the adherence of the polymeric sheet to other substrates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Kerr to include plasma etching the sheet of plastic material as suggested by Thomas et al. to increase the adherence of the plastic sheet to the other sheet materials thereby resulting in a securely bonded laminate.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,964

Art Unit: 1734

As to Claims 2 and 13, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method wherein the first support layer (170) is comprised of a support base (238) and a release layer (198).

As to Claims 3 and 14, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method wherein the second support layer (150) is comprised of a support base (234) and a release layer (194).

As to Claims 4 and 15, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method wherein the second support layer (150) is comprised of a support base (234), release layer (194), and an aluminized layer (200).

As to Claims 5, 6, 16, and 17, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method wherein the resulting pre-press proof has a resolution of between 1400 and 4000 dpi (column 5, lines 42-45).

As to Claims 9 and 20, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method which includes thermal print layer having a thickness between 1 and 75µm (column 4, lines 53-56).

As to Claims 10 and 21, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose a method wherein the image is an inkjet generated image (column 5, lines 41-45).

As to Claim 12, Kerr discloses a method for laminating a pre-press proof (Figure 4; column 4, line 57 through column 5, line 10) comprising providing a sheet of plastic material (260); creating an imaged receiver sheet (140) with a support layer (150); laminating the sheet of plastic (260) to the imaged receiver sheet (140); and removing the support layer (150) thereby forming a pre-press proof. Kerr does not disclose plasma etching the sheet of plastic material. It is well known and conventional in the adhesive bonding art, as disclosed by Thomas et al. (column 1, lines 28-35), to plasma etch the bonding surface of a polymeric sheet to increase the adherence of the polymeric sheet to other substrates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Kerr to include

Art Unit: 1734

plasma etching the sheet of plastic material as suggested by Thomas et al. to increase the adherence of the plastic sheet to the other sheet materials thereby resulting in a securely bonded laminate.

- 5. Claims 7, 8, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerr (US 6,508,527) and Thomas et al. (US 4,456,570) as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Yamaguchi (US 6,435,640). As to Claims 7, 8, 18, and 19, the references as combined (see Kerr) disclose providing the image receiver sheet with an inkjet generated image (column 5, lines 41-45), but the references as combined are silent as to the imaged receiver sheet comprising either a monochrome or multicolored image. It is well known and conventional in the printing art, as disclosed by Yamaguchi (column 3, lines 40-42), to provide ink jet printed images in either monochrome or multicolor to create customized images. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the inkjet generated image of Kerr as either a monochrome or multicolored image as suggested by Yamaguchi; the utilization of inkjet printing to provide both monochrome and multicolored images being well established in the art.
- 6. Claims 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerr (US 6,508,527) and Thomas et al. (US 4,456,570) as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Johnson et al. (US 6,593,390). As to Claims 11 and 22, the references as combined do not disclose a method wherein the plasma etching takes place in a printing press. It is well known in the material handling art, as disclosed by Johnson et al. (column 8, lines 40-47), to pre-treat the printing surfaces of substrates with plasma etching prior to carrying out the

Application/Control Number: 10/720,964

Art Unit: 1734

printing process to promote greater adhesion of the ink onto the surface of the substrate. Since

plasma etching is often performed in close coordination with a printing process, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of the Kerr to provide the

plasma etching of the sheet of plastic material in the ink jet printing device prior to the printing

of the sheet of plastic material.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl N Hawkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1229.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher A Fiorilla can be reached on (517) 272-1187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free

Cheryl N. Hawkins

Cherry n. Haul

April 13, 2005

CHRIS FIORILLA

CHRIS FIORILLA

EXAMINER

Page 6

AU 1734